SAP

C_IEE2E

SAP Certified - Implementation Consultant - End-to-End Business Processes for SAP Business Suite (C_IEE2E_2602)

Exam Version: 4.0

Questions & Answers PDF

(Demo Version - Limited Content)

For More Information - Visit link below:

https://p2pexam.com/c_iee2e

Question 1. (Single Select)

An implementation consultant is validating an integrated production-to-accounting flow for a regional food ingredients producer using SAP Business Suite with SAP S/4HANA Cloud in a mixed cloud environment. The assigned user INT_E2E_64 confirms completion of a production activity that should update the operational production view and create related financial visibility for the same business event. The production completion is visible, but financial analysis shows no corresponding operational cost impact.

The observable artifact is completed production evidence with missing accounting impact in financial analysis. The constraint is that the consultant must not post a separate finance-only cost entry, because the exercise must validate that production completion carries the correct accounting-relevant relationship into financial visibility. The same production completion record must remain traceable.

Which action best resolves the production-to-accounting integration failure?

Response:

A: ost a separate finance-only cost entry so financial analysis contains the expected production impact.
B: ccept the validation because the production activity completion is visible in the operational process view.
C: eview the accounting-relevant production assignment and cost-impact linkage that determine whether completion updates financial analysis.
D: ecreate the production completion under a different reference so accounting receives a fresh operational event.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This option targets the dependency that controls whether a completed production activity is interpreted as financially relevant. Correcting the production assignment and cost-impact linkage preserves the original completion record and validates the integrated production-to-accounting flow.

Question 2. (Single Select)

A finance consultant is validating a record-to-report exercise for a logistics services company using SAP

Business Suite with SAP S/4HANA Cloud. The assigned user FIN_E2E_30 posts an operational cost that should appear in the period review for the current reporting cycle. The financial document posts successfully, and the line item is visible in document display, but the period review excludes the value from the expected cycle total.

The observable artifact is a posted financial document that is not included in the reporting-cycle output. The constraint is that the consultant must not add a manual period adjustment, because the task must validate that the posted transaction is selected by the reporting process through its maintained attributes. The original document must remain the evidence object for correction and retesting.

Which action best resolves the period review exclusion?

Response:

A: eview the posting date, reporting-cycle selection data, and assignment attributes that determine whether the document is included in the period review.
B: dd a manual period adjustment so the reporting-cycle total matches the expected validation value.
C: ccept the result because the financial document posted and the line item is visible in document display.
D: epost the cost with a new document number before checking why the original transaction was excluded.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
This option addresses the reporting-selection dependency that determines whether a posted document is included in the period review. The correct validation layer is the relationship among posting date, assignment attributes, reporting selection, and cycle output.

Question 3. (Single Select)

An implementation consultant is validating a SAP Business Suite fundamentals exercise for a regional civic asset management office using SAP S/4HANA Cloud. The assigned user BAS_E2E_113 records a basic asset-service event that should appear in the process overview with the expected business role context and validation status. The transaction saves successfully, but the overview shows the event under a neutral role context with validation status still incomplete.

The observable artifact is a saved transaction with role-context mismatch in the process overview. The

constraint is that the consultant must not close the task based only on transaction visibility, because the exercise must validate that the event is interpreted in the correct process role context. The same transaction must remain traceable during correction.

Which action best makes the fundamentals validation result complete?

Response:

A: ccept the validation because the transaction appears in the process overview after saving.
B: dd the expected business role context manually to the validation notes so the evidence is complete.
C: eview the business role context assignment and validation-status dependency that determine how the saved event is interpreted.
D: ecreate the event under a different user role before reviewing why the original transaction was classified neutrally.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This option targets the dependency that controls whether the saved business event is classified in the correct role context and reaches the expected validation state. Correcting the assignment and status relationship preserves the original transaction and validates the intended process behavior.

Question 4. (Single Select)

A sales consultant is validating a lead-to-cash exercise for a commercial kitchen equipment service provider using SAP Business Suite with SAP S/4HANA Cloud. The assigned user LTC_E2E_71 creates a customer order for an urgent repair visit and completes the service activity. The process monitor shows completion, but the billing preparation view excludes the item because the urgency surcharge is not recognized as commercially complete.

The observable artifact is a completed service item with blocked billing-preparation evidence tied to missing commercial surcharge recognition. The constraint is that the consultant must not add a separate surcharge billing line, because the exercise must validate that the original service item carries all required commercial data into billing preparation. The same customer order and service activity must remain traceable.

Which action best resolves the billing preparation blockage?

Response:

A: reate a separate surcharge billing line so the customer can be billed for the urgent repair visit.
B: ccept the validation because the service activity is complete and visible in the process monitor.
C: epeat service completion so billing preparation receives another update from the same customer order.
D: eview the surcharge-relevant commercial data and billing-selection dependency that determine whether the completed item is billing-ready.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This option targets the dependency that controls whether the completed service item is commercially complete and eligible for billing preparation. Correcting the surcharge-relevant commercial data preserves the original order and validates the intended lead-to-cash flow.

Question 5. (Single Select)

A sales consultant is validating a lead-to-cash exercise for a wholesale equipment company using SAP Business Suite with SAP S/4HANA Cloud. The assigned user OTC_E2E_09 creates a customer order for a service part, confirms availability, and expects the follow-up billing evidence to appear after the fulfillment step. The delivery-related process status is completed, but the billing due evidence does not include the order.

The observable artifact is a completed fulfillment step with missing billing readiness in the process monitor. The constraint is that the consultant must preserve the same customer order and fulfillment evidence, because the validation task requires one traceable order-to-billing chain. Creating a separate billing request manually would not prove that the lead-to-cash process generated the expected downstream billing state.

Which action best makes the lead-to-cash validation result complete?

Response:

A: reate a manual billing request with the same customer reference so the expected billing evidence appears.
B: epeat fulfillment for the same order so the billing due monitor refreshes with a second completion event.
C: ccept the validation because the customer order and fulfillment step were completed successfully.

D: eview the billing-relevance and document-flow dependency that determines whether completed fulfillment can generate billing due evidence.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This option addresses the correct dependency layer because billing due evidence depends on document flow and billing-relevance conditions after fulfillment. Preserving the same order and fulfillment evidence allows the consultant to validate the complete lead-to-cash chain.

For More Information - Visit link below:

https://p2pexam.com/c_iee2e

Thanks for using our Product!

Pass Your Certification With P2Pexam Guarantee

For $15 discount, use coupon code:

p2poff

Sales: sales@p2pexam.com

Support: support@p2pexam.com